

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/004,984	GLOAGUEN, DIDIER	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Pamela Rushau.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Goodman '213

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to suggest changes to overcome newly found reference (Goodman 4,529,213). The changes distinguish the invention from Goodman's vent/cover connection. As seen in Figure 5 of Goodman, the collar has a material forming a hole which extends radially through the collar (due to thickness of collar as well as direction of hole). The vent means is attached to the cover through the hole. The proposed changes clarify and further distinguish the claimed hole as extending radially outwardly from the collar. The other changes corrected 112 antecedent problems. Applicant gave approval for the changes on 3-18-05.